## ELECTRON PARAMAGNETIC RESONANCE IN FINELY DISPERSED LITHIUM

M. Ya. GEN and V. I. PETINOV

Chemical Physics Institute (Branch), Academy of Sciences, U.S.S.R.

Submitted to JETP editor May 28, 1964

J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 48, 29-33 (January, 1965)

A study was made of the effect of the particle size of lithium on the width and profile of a paramagnetic resonance line. It was discovered that the EPR line broadened almost 20-fold when the particle size was reduced from  $6 \times 10^{-5}$  to  $6 \times 10^{-6}$  cm. The probability of the spin flip of an electron on its collision with the surface of a particle was found. The value of the probability depended on the purity of the metal. At 77° K, an additional narrow line,  $\approx 1$  Oe wide, was observed for the finest lithium.

## INTRODUCTION

IN metals whose paramagnetism is associated with the conduction electrons, the EPR line width is determined completely by the spin-lattice relaxation time  $T_{sl}$ . The spin-spin relaxation is unimportant because of the high electron velocities and the relatively weak spin-spin interaction, and therefore the longitudinal and transverse relaxation times are equal:  $T_1 = T_2$ .<sup>[1]</sup> When particle size of such a metal is reduced, an additional mechanism begins to act due to the scattering of electrons on the surface of the particles. Consequently, the relaxation time  $T_2$  decreases. A theoretical treatment of the paramagnetic relaxation in fine metal particles was first given by Dyson.<sup>[2]</sup> He showed that

$$1/T_2 = 1/T_{sl} + 1/T_s, \tag{1}$$

where  $T_S$  is the relaxation time governed by the collisions of electrons with the surface of a particle. For spherical metal particles,  $T_S$  is given by

$$T_S = \frac{4}{3}r / \varepsilon v, \qquad (2)$$

where r is the particle radius, v is the electron velocity on the Fermi surface, and  $\epsilon$  is the probability of spin flip on collision with the surface of a particle.

The reduction in  $T_2$  because of the electron collisions with the surface was observed first for sodium and lithium particles having a size of 5  $\mu$ .<sup>[3]</sup> However, the line broadening due to T<sub>S</sub> was so small that it was within the experimental error. Schumacher and Vehse<sup>[4]</sup> observed the disappearance of the EPR line of very fine sodium particles, for which the nuclear resonance signal was observed. This was explained by the broadening of the paramagnetic absorption line because of the small value of the time  $T_S$  for such fine particles. On the other hand, Garif'yanov<sup>[5]</sup> observed narrowing of the EPR line of lithium (95% pure) when the particle size was reduced from 50 to 0.1  $\mu$ . The results of Feher and Kip<sup>[3]</sup> and those of Schumacher and Vehse<sup>[4]</sup> are in qualitative agreement with the theory, but those of Garif'yanov<sup>[5]</sup> contradict the theory. All this made it impossible to compare accurately the theory with experiment.

More detailed experimental investigations of the electron relaxation in fine metal particles have, so far, met with the following difficulties: a) finely dispersed metals were obtained mainly by ultrasonic pulverization in molten paraffin wax and therefore the particle size extended over a wide range, the particles were not spherical, and the defects, produced in the particles by strong deformation, altered the electron relaxation times; b) the methods of preparing fine metal particles made it impossible to determine sufficiently accurately the particle size; c) in some cases the metals used were insufficiently pure. Our method of preparing finely dispersed metals overcame, to a great extent, all these difficulties.

In the present work, we investigated the influence of the size of spherical lithium particles on the width and profile of the paramagnetic resonance line. The average particle size varied from  $6 \times 10^{-5}$  to  $6 \times 10^{-6}$  cm.

## PREPARATION OF THE SAMPLES

The initial material was lithium containing 0.015% K, 0.03% Na, 0.0001% Fe, and less than 0.0002% of other impurities. Technical lithium (98% pure) was also used in order to determine

the effectiveness of the refinement of lithium in the process of preparing the sample, and the influence of impurities on the probability of the spin flip of an electron on its collision with the surface.

Finely dispersed lithium was prepared by the aerosol method, similar to that described in [6]. An aerosol, formed in an evaporator, was carried away by a stream of inert gas and collected by passing it through molten paraffin wax or a fabric filter. In the former case, the samples were obtained in the form of suspensions of lithium particles in paraffin; in the latter, in the form of a powder collected in a sealed glass ampoule filled with an inert gas. Additional refinement of the lithium took place during the evaporation process. For this purpose, the aerosol, containing, in the first minutes after preparation a large amount of volatile impurities, bypassed the filters. The refractory impurities collected in the residue of the drop being evaporated. The average lithium particle size was varied by using gases of different molecular weights (argon, helium) and by varying the velocity of the gas stream passing through the evaporator. To investigate the degree of dispersion of the particles, a collodion film was placed for several seconds in the aerosol stream and then this film was photographed in an electron microscope. The degree of dispersion was determined by measuring and counting the particles in the photographs, such as that shown in Fig. 1. The



FIG. 1. Photograph of lithium particles prepared in argon. Magnification 10<sup>4</sup>.



FIG. 2. Size distribution of lithium particles. Preparation conditions: helium gas; stream velocity 10 times greater than in the case represented by Fig. 1 ( $n_i$  is the number of particles of diameter  $d_i$ ; n is the total number of particles.

particle size distributions obtained in this way were always similar to that shown in Fig. 2. It is evident from Fig. 1 that the particles were spherical.

The electron paramagnetic resonance spectra were recorded at 300 and  $77^{\circ}$  K with standard apparatus of the ÉPR-2 type using high-frequency (9350 Mc) modulation.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 3 shows the dependence of the line width, determined from the maxima of the derivative of the absorption line, on the average particle size. Curve I corresponds to samples prepared from lithium containing less than 0.05% impurities, while curve II represents technical lithium (98% Li). The average particle size was determined from the distribution curve given in Fig. 2 using the formula  $d_{av} = (\Sigma n_i d_i^3 / \Sigma n_i)^{1/3}$ . In the less pure lithium, the electrons suffer multiple collisions with the impurity atoms before reaching the surface of a particle. Therefore, the time between electron collisions with the surface becomes



FIG. 3. Dependence of  $\Delta H_{p-p}$  on the average lithium particle diameter;  $H_{p-p}$  is the distance between the maxima of the derivative of the absorption line. Curve I represents samples made from 99.95% pure lithium; curve II represents samples prepared from technical lithium.



FIG. 4. Dependence of  $1/T_{\rm 2}$  on 1/r: curve I represents samples made from 99.95% pure lithium; curve II represents samples prepared from technical lithium.

longer and the relaxation governed by these collisions correspondingly decreases. Using this, it is easy to explain why Garif'yanov<sup>[5]</sup> did not observe any line broadening at all in highly impure lithium when the particle size was varied to  $10^{-5}$  cm.

To determine the probability of the spin flip of an electron on its collision with the surface and to find the spin-lattice relaxation time, we plotted the dependence of  $1/T_2$  on 1/r (Fig. 4). The spin relaxation time  $T_2$  was determined in accordance with Dyson's work<sup>[1]</sup> as the quantity inversely proportional to the paramagnetic resonance line width  $\Delta$ H;  $\Delta$ H was found by calculating the second moment of the absorption curve. Figure 4 shows that this dependence is linear, which is in good agreement with the theoretical expression, obtained from Eqs. (1) and (2):

$$1/T_2 = 1/T_{sl} + \frac{3}{4} \varepsilon v/r.$$

We determined the quantities  $\epsilon^{I}$  and  $\epsilon^{II}$  from the slopes of the straight lines I and II, and  $T_{sl}^{I}$  and  $T_{sl}^{II}$ , from the intercepts of these lines on the ordinate. Since, for lithium,  $v = 1.3 \times 10^{8}$  cm/sec, we found that  $\epsilon^{I} = 2 \times 10^{-5}$ , and  $\epsilon^{II} = 7 \times 10^{-6}$ ; and, correspondingly, that  $T_{sl}^{II} = 1.6 \times 10^{-8}$  sec, and  $T_{sl}^{I}$  was somewhat greater than  $10^{-7}$  sec. The value of  $\epsilon^{I}$  was in good agreement with the estimates of Feher and Kip.  $^{[3]}$  They showed that the spin-lattice relaxation time  $T_{sl}$  of lithium was determined mainly by the relaxation on impurities. They obtained  $T_{sl} = 2 \times 10^{-8} \sec$  for 99.9% pure lithium. A comparison of this value with  $T_{sl}^{II} = 1.6 \times 10^{-8} \sec$  showed that the impurity content of technical lithium was reduced from 2% to 0.1% in the process of preparing the sample.

Measurements at 77°K showed that the EPR line broadened on cooling for all particle sizes. The broadening was considerably weaker for samples prepared from technical lithium. Since the broadening involved, mainly, an increase of the absorption curve "wings," it was difficult to obtain quantitative estimates.

Finally, we must consider a very interesting effect, which also depended on the particle size and on temperature, and appeared only in the finest lithium powder obtained in our experiments. Apart from the broad line, whose intensity was independent of temperature, we observed at 77° K a narrow signal whose g-factor was identical with the g-factor of the conduction electrons (Fig. 5). The width of the signal was  $\approx 1$  Oe. In recording this signal, all precautions were taken to avoid introducing paramagnetic impurities into the samples. Ampoules in which the samples were placed



FIG. 5. Trace of the derivative of the absorption line of finely dispersed lithium;  $d_{av} = 6 \times 10^{-6}$  cm, T =  $77^{\circ}$ K.

were checked for the presence of such impurities, and we used glass or polyethylene ampoules which did not give a signal with g = 2. The signal was not affected by substituting the powder samples with the suspensions of lithium in paraffin. The disappearance of the narrow signal simultaneously with the broad line when the lithium oxidized showed that the oxides could not be responsible for its appearance. Repeated cooling of the samples to 77°K and subsequent heating to 300°K, or annealing for a fortnight at room temperature, had no influence on the intensity of this signal. The narrow signal was not observed in samples prepared from technical lithium.

We have not yet been able to explain this effect within the framework of the existing theories. To find the cause of the appearance of the narrow line, it is necessary to carry out additional investigations of fine particles at lower temperatures.

In conclusion, we thank N. I. Stoenko and Yu. I. Fedorov for their help in preparing the sample, and I. F. Shchegolev for discussing the results.

<sup>4</sup> R. T. Schumacher and W. E. Vehse, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **24**, 297 (1963).

<sup>5</sup> N. S. Garif'yanov, JETP **32**, 149 (1957), Soviet Phys. JETP **5**, 111 (1957).

Translated by A. Tybulewicz

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A. W. Overhauser, Phys. Rev. **89**, 689 (1953).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> F. J. Dyson, Phys. Rev. **98**, 349 (1955).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>G. Feher and A. F. Kip, Phys. Rev. **98**, 337 (1955).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Gen, Ziskin, and Petrov, DAN SSSR **127**, 36 (1959).