## TEMPERATURE GREEN'S FUNCTION FOR ELECTRONS IN A SUPERCONDUCTOR
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The temperature Green's function for electrons in a superconductor are computed by the diagram technique for $T \neq 0$. An estimate is made of the region near the critical temperature for which the usual analysis, which makes use of a temperature-dependent gap in the excitation spectrum, is no longer valid. The magnitude of this temperature range is of the order of $\left(2 \Delta(0) / \omega_{0}\right)^{4} T_{c}$.

Acharacteristic of the electron excitation spectrum in superconductors is the appearance of an energy gap. Strictly speaking, the gap exists only at zero temperature. However, if we neglect scattering, then we can determine the temperaturedependent gap $\Delta(T)$ for $T>0 .{ }^{1}$ Scattering leads to the appearance of finite damping in the excitations, which vanishes at $\mathrm{T}=0$ for minimum energy $\Delta(0) .^{2,3}$ For $T>0$, even the excitations with energy $\Delta(T)$ have a finite lifetime. The very concept of the gap loses its meaning when the damping becomes comparable with $\Delta(T)$. Further, it will be shown that the temperature range in which it is not possible to use the gap concept is very small.

The most convenient way for studying this problem is the calculation of the Green's function. Without taking damping into account, Gor'kov ${ }^{4}$ determined the damping at $\mathrm{T}>0$ for a superconductor with four-fermion interaction. For the problem under consideration, a model with electronphonon interaction would be more suitable.

In a paper by the author ${ }^{3}$ equations were obtained for the functions $G(\epsilon, p)$ and $F(\epsilon, p)$ for $T=0$. (Similar equations are contained in the work of Buikov. ${ }^{2}$ The notation used henceforth is that introduced in reference 3.) Using the diagram technique ${ }^{5,6}$ for $T \neq 0$, we can immediately write down the corresponding equations for $\mathrm{T}>0$ :

$$
G\left(\varepsilon_{n}, p\right)=\frac{\varepsilon_{n}+\xi(p)+\Sigma_{1}\left(-\varepsilon_{n}, p\right)}{\Omega\left(\varepsilon_{n}, p\right)}, \quad F\left(\varepsilon_{n}, p\right)=\frac{\Sigma_{2}\left(\varepsilon_{n}, p\right)}{\Omega\left(\varepsilon_{n}, p\right)} .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega\left(\varepsilon_{n}, p\right)=\left[\varepsilon_{n}-\xi(p)-\Sigma_{1}\left(\varepsilon_{n}, p\right)\right]\left[\varepsilon_{n}+\xi(p)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\Sigma_{1}\left(-\varepsilon_{n}, p\right)\right]-\left[\Sigma_{2}\left(\varepsilon_{n}, p\right)\right]^{2} ; \\
& \Sigma_{1}\left(\varepsilon_{n}, p\right)=-\frac{T}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \sum_{n^{\prime}} d \mathbf{p}^{\prime} G\left(\varepsilon_{n^{\prime}}, p^{\prime}\right) D\left(\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{n^{\prime}} ; p-p^{\prime}\right), \\
& \Sigma_{2}\left(\varepsilon_{n}, p\right)=\frac{T}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \sum_{n^{\prime}} \int d \mathbf{p}^{\prime} F\left(\varepsilon_{n^{\prime}}, p^{\prime}\right) D\left(\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{n^{\prime}} ; p-p^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varepsilon_{n}=i(2 n+1) \pi T, \quad \xi(p)=v_{0}\left(p-p_{0}\right), \\
D\left(\omega_{n}, q\right)=\alpha_{q}^{2} 2 \omega_{q} /\left(\omega_{n}^{2}-\omega_{q}^{2}\right), \quad \omega_{n}=i 2 n \pi T .
\end{gathered}
$$

For $q \ll q_{m a x}$, the value $\alpha_{q}^{2}=\lambda_{0} \pi^{2} s q / \sqrt{1-\lambda_{0}} p_{0}$, $\omega_{q}=\sqrt{1-\lambda_{0}} \mathrm{sq}, \mathrm{s}$ is the velocity of sound ( $\hbar=\mathrm{m}$ $=1)$. ${ }^{7}$

The function $G\left(\epsilon_{n}, p\right)$ coincides with the analytic continuation of the retardation function $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{R}}(\epsilon, \mathrm{p})(\mathrm{n}>0)$ and the advance function $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{A}}(\epsilon, \mathrm{p})$ ( $\mathrm{n}<0$ ). ${ }^{5}$ The same applies to the functions $F\left(\epsilon_{n}, p\right), \Sigma_{1}\left(\epsilon_{n}, p\right)$ and $\Sigma_{2}\left(\epsilon_{n}, p\right)$. Making use of these properties, we can go from Eqs. (1) - (3) to integral equations. For this purpose, we note that when $\mathrm{n}>0$ we have the equality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{C_{1}} d z G_{R}\left(z, p^{\prime}\right) D\left(\varepsilon_{n}-z, q\right) \tanh \frac{z}{2 T} \\
& =4 \pi i T \sum_{n^{\prime}=0}^{\infty} G\left(\varepsilon_{n^{\prime}}, p^{\prime}\right) D\left(\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{n^{\prime}} ; q\right) \\
& +2 \pi i \alpha_{q}^{2}\left[G_{R}\left(\varepsilon_{n}+\omega_{q} ; p^{\prime}\right) \tanh \frac{\varepsilon_{n}+\omega_{q}}{2 T}\right. \\
& \left.-G_{R}\left(\varepsilon_{n}-\omega_{q} ; p^{\prime}\right) \tanh \frac{\varepsilon_{n}-\omega_{q}}{2 T}\right], \\
& \int_{C_{2}} d z G_{A}\left(z, p^{\prime}\right) D\left(\varepsilon_{n}-z, q\right) \tanh \frac{z}{2 T} \\
& =4 \pi i T \sum_{n^{\prime}=-1}^{-\infty} G\left(\varepsilon_{n^{\prime}} ; p^{\prime}\right) D\left(\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{n^{\prime}} ; q\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The contours $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ encompass the upper and lower halfplanes, respectively, while integration over both contours is carried out in a counterclockwise direction. The real axis is a cut.

Since the integrals over the large semicircles are equal to zero, and since we have $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)$ $=G_{R}^{*}\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)$ for real $z=\epsilon^{\prime}$, we can then write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma_{1}\left(\varepsilon_{n}, p\right)=\frac{i}{2(2 \pi)^{4}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \varepsilon^{\prime} \tanh \frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{2 T} d \mathbf{p}^{\prime}\left[G_{R}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-G_{R}^{*}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)\right] D\left(\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon^{\prime}, p-p^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2(2 \pi)^{3}} \int d \mathbf{p}^{\prime} \alpha_{p-p^{\prime}}^{2}\left[G_{R}\left(\varepsilon_{n}+\omega_{p-p^{\prime}} ; p^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+G_{R}\left(\varepsilon_{n}-\omega_{p-p^{\prime},}, p^{\prime}\right)\right] \operatorname{coth} \frac{\omega_{p-p^{\prime}}}{2 T}
\end{aligned}
$$

in place of (2). The first component contains only $\operatorname{Im} G_{R}$. Making use of the well-known relation

$$
G_{R}(\varepsilon, p)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Im} G_{R}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)}{\varepsilon-\varepsilon^{\prime}+i \delta} d \varepsilon^{\prime}
$$

we can also express the second component in terms of $\operatorname{Im} G_{R}$. Continuing both sides of the equation analytically from the upper halfplane to the real axis, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Sigma_{1 R}(\varepsilon, p)=-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \varepsilon^{\prime} \int d \mathbf{p}^{\prime} \operatorname{Im} G_{R}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad \times \alpha_{p-p^{\prime}}^{2} \frac{\tanh \left(\varepsilon^{\prime} / 2 T\right)-\operatorname{coth}\left(\omega_{p-p^{\prime}} / 2 T\right)}{\varepsilon^{\prime}-\varepsilon-\omega_{p-p^{\prime}}-i \delta} \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{\tanh \left(\varepsilon^{\prime} / 2 T\right)+\operatorname{coth}\left(\omega_{p-p^{\prime}} / 2 T\right)}{\varepsilon^{\prime}-\varepsilon+\omega_{p-p^{\prime}}-i \delta}\right] . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

$f(\varepsilon)=f_{0}(\varepsilon)+i f_{1}(\varepsilon)=\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2} v_{0}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \varepsilon^{\prime} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}-f\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)}{\Omega_{1}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)}\right\} \operatorname{sign} \operatorname{Im} \Omega_{1}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{1}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}, \varepsilon\right) ;$
$\varphi_{1}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}, \varepsilon\right)=\int_{0}^{q_{1}} d q q \alpha_{q}{ }^{2}\left[\frac{\tanh \left(\varepsilon^{\prime} / 2 T\right)-\operatorname{coth}\left(\omega_{q} / 2 T\right)}{\varepsilon^{\prime}-\varepsilon-\omega_{q}-i \delta}-\frac{\tanh \left(\varepsilon^{\prime} / 2 T\right)+\operatorname{coth}\left(\omega_{q} / 2 T\right)}{\varepsilon^{\prime}-\varepsilon+\omega_{q}-i \delta}\right] ;$
$\Omega_{1}^{2}(\varepsilon)=[\varepsilon-f(\varepsilon)]^{2}-\Sigma_{2}^{2}(\varepsilon), \quad q_{1}=\min \left\{2 p_{0}, q_{\max }\right\}$.
We can establish the fact that sign $\operatorname{Im} \Omega_{1}\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)$ $=\operatorname{sign} \epsilon^{\prime}$. If we neglect the imaginary parts of the functions f and $\Sigma_{2}$ in $\Omega_{1}$ under the integral sign, then it is evident that $\operatorname{Re} \Omega_{1}(\epsilon)=0$ for $|\epsilon|$ $\leq \Delta$, where $\pm \Delta$ are the roots of the equation $\left[\epsilon-\mathrm{f}_{0}(\epsilon)\right]^{2}-\left[\operatorname{Re} \Sigma_{2}(\epsilon)\right]^{2}=0$.

Therefore, in the same approximation,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\varepsilon-f(\varepsilon)}{\Omega_{1}(\varepsilon)}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\left(\varepsilon-f_{0}(\varepsilon)\right) / \Omega_{1}(\varepsilon), & |\varepsilon|>\Delta \\
0 & , \\
|\varepsilon|<\Delta
\end{array},\right.
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(\varepsilon)=\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2} v_{0}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \varepsilon^{\prime} \frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}-f_{0}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)}{\Omega_{1}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)} \theta\left(\varepsilon^{\prime 2}-\Delta^{2}\right) \varphi_{1}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}, \varepsilon\right) \operatorname{sign} \varepsilon^{\prime} \\
\Omega_{1}(\varepsilon)=\left[\left[\varepsilon-f_{0}(\varepsilon)\right]^{2}-\left[\operatorname{Re} \Sigma_{2}(\varepsilon)\right]^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

An estimate will be given below of the imaginary parts, from which the limits of applicability of the approximations will be clear.

We note that only the possibility of discarding the imaginary parts for $\epsilon \lesssim \Delta$ is of importance for us. As will be seen, this possibility vanishes

In the isotropic case under consideration, $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ are practically independent of $p$ for $p \sim p_{0}$. We divide $\Sigma_{1 R}(\epsilon)$ into an odd $f_{0}(\epsilon)$ and even $\mu_{1}$ $+\mathrm{if}_{1}(\epsilon)$. Since the imaginary part of $\Sigma_{1 R}$ is an even function of $\epsilon, f_{0}(\epsilon)$ is real. Let us consider $\operatorname{Im} \operatorname{GR}_{R}(\epsilon, \mathrm{p})$. Bearing in mind that $\Sigma_{1}\left(-\epsilon_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ goes over into $\Sigma_{1 R}^{*}(-\epsilon)$ upon analytic continuation from the upper half-plane, we can write

$$
G_{R}(\varepsilon, p)=\frac{\varepsilon-f_{0}(\varepsilon)-i f_{1}(\varepsilon)}{\Omega(\varepsilon, p)}+\frac{\xi(p)+\mu_{1}}{\Omega(\varepsilon, p)} .
$$

Upon substitution of the second component in (4), we obtain an expression in which the important region of integration is far from the Fermi surface. This gives for the chemical potential $\mu$ a correction that is practically independent of $T$. The contribution of the first component comes from the region close to $p_{0}$. Therefore, we can go from integration over $p^{\prime}$ to integration over $q=\left|p-p^{\prime}\right|, \quad \xi=v_{0}\left(p^{\prime}-p_{0}\right)$, and the angle $\varphi$. As a result, we obtain the following after integration over $\xi$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}(\varepsilon)=-\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2} v_{0}} \int_{\Delta}^{\infty} d \varepsilon^{\prime} \frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^{\prime 2}-\Delta^{2}}} \int_{0}^{q_{1}} d q q \alpha_{q}^{2}\left[\left(\tanh \frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{2 T}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\operatorname{coth} \frac{\omega_{q}}{2 T}\right)\left(\delta\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}+\varepsilon+\omega_{q}\right)+\delta\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}-\varepsilon+\omega_{q}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+\left(\operatorname{coth} \frac{\omega_{q}}{2 T}-\tanh \frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{2 T}\right)\left(\delta\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}-\varepsilon-\omega_{q}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\delta\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}+\varepsilon-\omega_{q}\right)\right)\right] . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

The first component vanishes for $|\epsilon| \leq \Delta$. The second component is different from zero for all $\epsilon$ but vanishes for $T=0$. The greatest interest for us lies in $f_{1}^{(2)}(\epsilon)$, since it determines the magnitude of the damping of excitations with minimum energy $\Delta$. Moreover, the imaginary part of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{R}}(\epsilon, \mathrm{p})$ is connected with $\mathrm{f}_{1}^{(2)}(\epsilon)$ for $\epsilon \leq \Delta$.

Since the small $\omega_{\mathrm{q}} \sim \dot{\mathrm{T}} \ll \Theta(\Theta$ is the Debye temperature) make a contribution to $f_{1}^{(2)}$, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}^{(2)}(\varepsilon)=-\frac{\pi \lambda_{0}}{\left(1-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}} \frac{1}{\omega_{0}^{2}} \int_{\Delta}^{\infty} d \varepsilon^{\prime} \frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^{\prime 2}-\Delta^{2}}} \\
& \quad \times\left\{\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime 2}+\varepsilon^{2}}{e^{\varepsilon^{\prime} / T}+1}+\frac{\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}-\varepsilon\right)^{2}}{e^{\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}-\varepsilon\right) / T}-1}+\frac{\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}+\varepsilon\right)^{2}}{e^{\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}+\varepsilon\right) / T}-1}\right\}, \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\omega_{0}=2 \mathrm{sp}_{0}$.
Let us consider some limiting cases.

1. $\mathrm{T} \ll \Delta,(\Delta-\epsilon) / T \gg 1$. In this case, the imaginary part is an exponentially small quantity:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}^{(2)}(\varepsilon)=-\frac{\pi^{3 / 2} \lambda_{0}}{\left(1-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{T}{2 \Delta}\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{1}{\omega_{0}^{2}}\left[\varepsilon^{2}+\Delta^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+(\varepsilon+\Delta)^{2} e^{-\varepsilon / T}+(\Delta-\varepsilon)^{2} e^{\varepsilon / T}\right] e^{-\Delta / T} \Delta \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

2. $\mathrm{T} \ll \Delta,(\Delta-\epsilon) / T \ll 1$. For $\epsilon$ sufficiently close to $\Delta$, the dependence of $f_{1}^{(2)}$ on $T$ become a power dependence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}^{(2)}(\varepsilon)=-\frac{\pi^{3 / 2} \lambda_{0}}{\left(1-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{T}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{T}{2 \Delta}\right)^{1 / 2} \Delta \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. $\mathrm{T} \gg \Delta, \epsilon \lesssim \Delta$. In this case the expression does not contain $\Delta$ and therefore coincides with that part of the damping of the excitations in the normal metal which is connected with the absorption of phonons:

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1}^{(2)}(\varepsilon) & =-\frac{4 \pi \lambda_{0}}{\left(1-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{T}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2} T \int_{0}^{\infty} d x \frac{x^{2} e^{x}}{e^{2 x}-1} \\
& \approx-\frac{8,2 \pi \lambda_{0}}{\left(1-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{T}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2} T \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

The equation for $\Sigma_{2}(\epsilon)$ can be obtained by starting out from the same considerations as in the derivation of (6). We write out the result:

$$
\begin{align*}
Q(\varepsilon) & \equiv \frac{\Sigma_{2}(\varepsilon)}{1-f(\varepsilon) / \varepsilon}=\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2} v_{0}} \frac{1}{1-f(\varepsilon) / \varepsilon} \int_{\Delta}^{\infty} d \varepsilon^{\prime} \frac{C\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^{\prime 2}-\Delta^{2}}} \\
& \times \int_{0}^{q_{1}} d q q \alpha_{q}^{2}\left[( \operatorname { t a n h } \frac { \varepsilon ^ { \prime } } { 2 T } + \operatorname { c o t h } \frac { \omega _ { q } } { 2 T } ) \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\prime}+\varepsilon+\omega_{q}+i \delta}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\prime}-\varepsilon+\omega_{q}-i \delta}\right)+\left(\operatorname{coth} \frac{\omega_{q}}{2 T}-\tanh \frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{2 T}\right) \\
& \left.\times\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\prime}-\varepsilon-\omega_{q}-i \delta}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\prime}+\varepsilon-\omega_{q}+i \delta}\right)\right] \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $C(\epsilon)=\operatorname{Re} Q(\epsilon)$. It is not difficult to prove that the equation can be written in the following form, with accuracy up to quantities of the order of $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}} / \omega_{0}\right)^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
C(\varepsilon)= & \frac{1}{4 \pi^{2} v_{0}} \frac{1}{1-f_{0}(\varepsilon) / \varepsilon} \int_{\Delta}^{\infty} d \varepsilon^{\prime} \frac{C\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^{\prime 2}-\Delta^{2}}} \\
& \tanh \frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{2 T} \int d q q \alpha_{q}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\prime}+\varepsilon+\omega_{q}}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\prime}-\varepsilon+\omega_{q}}\right) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

This equation differs from Eq. (37) of reference 3 only in the presence of $\tanh \left(\epsilon^{\prime} / 2 T\right)$. Thanks to this, the usual relation between $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\Delta(0)$ is preserved. The imaginary part of $\Sigma_{2}(\epsilon)$, together with $\Delta$, vanishes for $T=T_{c}$ and therefore is of no interest to us.

In conclusion, let us consider the problem of at what temperatures $f_{1}(\epsilon)$ becomes comparable with $\Delta$ and when the concept of shell loses its meaning. It follows from (11) that $\left|f_{1}\right| / \Delta \sim 1$ for $\Delta / T_{C}$ $\sim\left(8 \pi \lambda_{0} /\left(1-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}\right)\left(T_{c} / \omega_{0}\right)^{2}$, i.e.,

$$
T_{c}-T \sim \frac{64 \lambda_{0}^{2}}{\left(1-\lambda_{0}\right)^{4}}\left(\frac{T_{c}}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{4} \approx \frac{0.4 \lambda_{0}^{2}}{\left(1-\lambda_{0}\right)^{4}}\left(\frac{2 \Delta(0)}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{4}
$$

Since $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}} \ll \omega_{0}$ for all known superconductors, the interval of temperatures close to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$ in which the usual approach becomes unsuitable is very small. Even for lead, for which $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}} / \omega_{0}$ is comparatively large ( $\sim 0.1$ ), the value $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}-\mathrm{T}\right) / \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}} \lesssim 0.01$.
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